

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/923,138	09/04/97	KUCHERLAPATI	R	CELL-4.8

HM22/1002

**EXAMINER** BECKERLEG, A

JAMES F HALEY FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10020-1104

**ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1632

**DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTOR  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  If the period for reply specified above is	this communication app	Application No.  08/923,138  Examiner  Anne M Beckerleg  pears on the cover sheet of	Applicant(s)  KUCHERLAPATI ET AL.  Art Unit  1632
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI  - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is	this communication app	Examiner Anne M Beckerleg	Art Unit 1632
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI  - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is	this communication app	Anne M Beckerleg	1632
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTOR  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  If the period for reply specified above is	Y PERIOD FOR REPL		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTOR  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  If the period for reply specified above is	Y PERIOD FOR REPL		vith the correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is			·
If NO period for reply is specified above     Failure to reply within the set or extend     Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37  Status	der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 date of this communication. I also than thirty (30) days, a reple, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statute an three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC at cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to commu	inication(s) filed on <u>25</u> .	<u>June 2001</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application closed in accordance	is in condition for allow with the practice under	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-47</u> is/are pe	ending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(	s) <u>4-45</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are a	illowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,46 and 47</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are sub	eject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is obje	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on			
			eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing of			disapproved by the Examiner.
• •	rawings are required in re		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration	is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119			
13) Acknowledgment is ma		n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)			
<del></del>		ts have been received.	
		its have been received in	
3. ☐ Copies of the ce application fi  * See the attached detaile	rom the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage ). ot received.
14) Acknowledgment is made	le of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made	the foreign language pr	ovisional application has	been received.
Attachment(s)		- <del>-</del>	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D     Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1632

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Applicant's amendment received on 6/25/01 has been entered. Claim 1 has been canceled. New claims 46-47 have been added. Claims 2-47 are pending in the instant application. Of these, claims 4-45 have been previously withdrawn from prosecution as being drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse in paper no. 11. Claims 2-3 and 46-47 are currently under examination in the instant application. An action on the merits follows.

The text of those sections of Title 35, US code, not included in this action, can be found in previous office actions.

Specification

In regards to the improper incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a PCT application WO 94/02602, the applicant has stated that the specification has been amended to incorporate pages 39-141 of PCT application WO 94/02602, published 3 February 1994. However, the applicant, while providing the appropriate pages from the WO 94/02602 document and a declaration by Jane T. Gunnison which states that the amendatory material inserted in the specification at pages 39-141 consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the application, has <u>not</u> actually requested that these pages be inserted into the text

Art Unit: 1632

of the instant specification. Therefore, applicant's amendment of the specification to recite on pages 1, 2, 8, and 20, that the PCT application WO 94/02602 is incorporated herein at pages 39-141 is incorrect. Thus, the reference to PCT application WO 94/02602 is still improper. It is suggested that applicant's overcome this issue by requesting the amendment of the specification to include pages 39-141 of PCT application WO 94/02602 as provided.

The amendment filed 6/25/01 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: new claims 46-47 recite a transgenic mouse which particular genetic features including the insertion of a SpeI-SpeI fragment commencing from the VH6 gene and continuing through the human D segment genes, human J segment genes and human constant region genes and into the Cδ gene of that locus, wherein said SpeI-SpeI fragment dos not include a gamma constant region. This SpeI-SpeI fragment is not supported by the instant specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action. However, it is noted that the amendment of the specification to include pages 39-141 of PCT application WO 94/02602 as provided will overcome this objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1632

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement is maintained over amended claims 2-3 and new claims 46-47. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found persuasive in overcoming the following instant grounds of rejection of the claims for reasons of record as discussed in detail below.

The applicant argues that the claims as amended are now limited to transgenic mice which contain a human mu constant region and a human kappa light chain locus but which do not contain a human gamma constant region, and as such meet the scope of enablement identified by the examiner in the previous office action. However, while the claims have been amended to indicate that the human antibodies are produced in transgenic mice which contain a SpeI-SpeI fragment of the human heavy chain locus and a kappa light chain locus from human chromosome 2, the amended claims continue to read on a human immunoglobulin of any isotype. As stated previously, the specification does not provide sufficient guidance for making transgenic mice capable of producing human antibodies of any isotype other than IgM. Further, the claims as amended now read specifically on transgenic mice which contain a contiguous fragment of the human immunoglobulin heavy chain locus that terminates at the Co gene, and which does not include a gamma constant region. Thus, the transgenic mice recited in the claims are not capable of producing a human immunoglobulin that is IgE, IgA, or IgG. Therefore, in order to properly reflect the scope of the instant invention, it is suggested that the claim preamble be amended to recite a "human IgM immunoglobulin".

Application/Control Number: 08/923,138

Art Unit: 1632

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of claim 1 and amendment of claims 2-3 to depend on new claims 46-47.

Page 5

Claims 2-3 and 46-47 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recite a "fully" human immunoglobulin variable region. It is unclear what is meant by the term "fully" human. It is unclear whether the applicant intends for the claim to read on human antibodies produced by the transgenic mice which are identical to human antibodies produced by human B cells including glycosylation patterns, or whether the applicant simply intends to indicate that the human immunoglobulins produced as not chimeric and do not comprise any murine immunoglobulin variable sequences. Clarification is requested.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejections of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Surani et al., Bruggemann et al., and Krimpenfort, or Krimpenfort and Lonberg, are withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of claim 1 and amendment of claims 2-3 to depend on new claims 46-47. It is noted that new claims 46-47 recite a transgenic mouse which comprises a SpeI-SpeI fragment from the

Art Unit: 1632

unrearranged human genomic heavy chain locus. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest making a transgenic mouse which comprises said SpeI-SpeI fragment.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie S. Beckerleg, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-9156. The examiner can be reached Mon-Thurs and every other Friday from 9:30-7:00. If the examiner is not available, the examiner's supervisor, Karen Hauda, can be reached at (703) 305-6608. General inquiries should be directed to the group receptionist whose phone number is (703) 308-0196. The official fax number is (703) 308-4242.

Dr. A.M.S. Beckerleg

A.M.S. BECKERLEG, PATENT EXAMINER

AURES